

## **Division of Capital Asset Management Standard Contractor Evaluation Form**

Revised August 2007

#### **Instructions to Owners**

- 1. This evaluation form is to be used for *Final Evaluations* on private sector projects.
- 2. This form must be completed and signed by the owner or owner's representative, architect, engineer, or the general contractor, where applicable.
- 3. Upon completion of this form, you may mail it **directly** to the DCAM Contractor Certification Office at the following address: *One Ashburton Place*, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor Boston, MA 02108 or send by fax to (617) 727-5363.

#### **Information for Contractors**

Contractors aggrieved by the result of a completed evaluation form may, within 30 days, submit a written response to DCAM disputing any information contained in the evaluation.

## The following definitions are being furnished as a guide for assisting evaluators in determining an appropriate rating for contractors:

#### Finished Product Quality

The rating in this category covers general quality of workmanship.

- (a) A building and/or system will be rated "very good" to "excellent" if the workmanship exceeds customary practices with no complaints.
- (b) The Division will consider as "satisfactory" a building and/or system that has been installed and is operating in accordance with contract requirements with few and minor complaints.
- (c) A building and/or system that has met contract requirements only after frequent adjustments or modifications and with many complaints will be rated "poor."
- (d) A building and/or system which functions with constant adjustments or modifications and serious complaints attributed to the contractor's work, and a building and/or system which fails to meet contract requirements, rates as "unacceptable," and no points will be given to the contractor, with a written explanation attached.

#### **Project Management**

Five factors are evaluated: coordination and scheduling; ability to manage subcontractors; safety and housekeeping procedures; change orders; working relationships with others on the project; and paperwork.

- (a) "Very good" to "excellent" performance is when the contractor routinely furnishes all schedules, shop drawings, and submittals required by the contract in a timely fashion, performs according to the schedules, has the subcontractors in and out of the project as scheduled, maintains a businesslike relationship with the owner, designer, subcontractors and the project manager, and runs an orderly and safe project with few complaints.
- (b) "Satisfactory" performance is when the contractor occasionally fails to meet the above scheduling and management requirements.
- (c) A "poor" rating is when the contractor fails to meet the above scheduling and management requirements on a regular and recurring basis.
- (d) If the contractor's performance is so poor that the project is seriously affected, the rating should be "unacceptable," thereby, no points should be given, and a written explanation attached.

#### Supervisory Personnel Rating

The contractor's on-site supervisory staff is rated on their knowledge, management skills and experience.

# **Division of Capital Asset Management Standard Contractor Evaluation Form**

### Section I- General Project Information - Section I must be completed in its entirety.

Reference		Referen			
Name:		Teleph	one #:		
Reference		Date:	-		
Position/ Title:		DCAM			
Reference		Intervie			
Agency/ Firm: Name of Contractor	Daina Evaluatadı	(if any)			
Name of Contractor	being Evaluated.		ng Change Orde	rs· \$	
		Contrac		ι 5. ψ	
			and Dates:		
Project		Actual			
Title:			etion Date:		
Scope of Work:		1 1			
Project Location (cit	y and state):				
Section II- Evaluat Please rate this contract additional sheets. If yo information to explain to shown and may score in	or's performance u rate the contrac the rating assigned	in each of the following tor below "satisfactory d. You are not restrict	y" in any area, pl ted to using the n	ease provide de umerical values	tailed (points)
1. Quality of Workm	anship (0-28 poi	nts)			Carry over points
Rate the quality of this problems on the contract		-	quality-related o	r workmanship	here \downarrow
unacceptable 🗌	poor	satisfactory [	very good 🗌	excellent	
0 points	14 points	24 points	26 points	28 points	→ points
comments:					•

Standard Contractor Evaluation Form Revised: August 15, 2007

### 2. Project Management

	3 points	7 points	8 points	∐ 9 points		
cceptable 🗌	poor	satisfactory $\Box$	very good [	excellent		
nousekeeping pro	ocedures on this	project. Were there a			•	
ets:					- -	_
0 points	6 points	11 points	12 points	13 points	<b>→</b>	_ poi
cceptable 🗌	poor	satisfactory $\square$	very good 🗌	excellent		
success in manag contractor's overa	ing and coordinall project manag	ating subcontractors (gement). Was this con	if no subcontracto	ors, rate this		
ets:						- •
0 points	7 points	11 points	12 points	13 points	$\rightarrow$	poi
cceptable 🗌	poor	satisfactory 🗌	very good 🗌	excellent		
	Subcontractor Nouccess in manage contractor's overable Dopoints  Compared to the compared to t	Subcontractor Management- (Coucess in managing and coording contractor's overall project managements? If not, provide specific coeptable poor points 6 points ts:  Safety and Housekeeping Proceedings and Housekeeping procedures on this afety accidents? If so, provide second points afety accidents? If so, provide second points afety accidents?	Subcontractor Management- (0-13 points) Rate this success in managing and coordinating subcontractors (contractor's overall project management). Was this coordinates? If not, provide specific examples.  Succeptable poor satisfactory points 6 points 11 points  ts:  Safety and Housekeeping Procedures- (0-9 points) Research actions are provided to the project. Were there a safety accidents? If so, provide specific examples.	satisfactory   very good   points   7 points   11 points   12 points   subcontractor Management- (0-13 points) Rate this contractor's ability success in managing and coordinating subcontractors (if no subcontractor ontractor's overall project management). Was this contractor able to efforblems? If not, provide specific examples.  sceptable   poor   satisfactory   very good   points   6 points   11 points   12 points   sts:  Safety and Housekeeping Procedures- (0-9 points) Rate this contractor ousekeeping procedures on this project. Were there any OSHA violation afety accidents? If so, provide specific examples.	Subcontractor Management- (0-13 points) Rate this contractor's ability, effort and success in managing and coordinating subcontractors (if no subcontractors, rate this contractor's overall project management). Was this contractor able to effectively resolve problems? If not, provide specific examples.    Subcontractor Management- (0-13 points) Rate this contractor's ability, effort and success in managing and coordinating subcontractors (if no subcontractors, rate this contractor's overall project management). Was this contractor able to effectively resolve problems? If not, provide specific examples.    Subcontractor Management- (0-13 points) Rate this contractor's project.	Subcontractor Management- (0-13 points) Rate this contractor's ability, effort and success in managing and coordinating subcontractors (if no subcontractors, rate this contractor's overall project management). Was this contractor able to effectively resolve problems? If not, provide specific examples.  Subcontractor Management- (0-13 points) Rate this contractor's ability, effort and success in managing and coordinating subcontractors (if no subcontractors, rate this contractor's overall project management). Was this contractor able to effectively resolve problems? If not, provide specific examples.  Subcontractor Management- (0-13 points) Rate this contractor's ability, effort and success in managing and coordinating subcontractors (if no subcontractors, rate this contractor's overall project management). Was this contractor able to effectively resolve problems? If not, provide specific examples.

unacceptable 🗌	poor	satisfactory [	very good [	excellent		
0 points	3 points	7 points	8 points	9 points	$\rightarrow$	poir
nments:						_ 1
other parties (i.e	owner, designer	oints) Rate this contract, subcontractors, etc., nner? If not, give spe	). Did this contra	-	_	
unacceptable 🗌	poor	satisfactory [	very good 🗌	excellent		
0 points	2 points	5 points	6 points	7 points	$\rightarrow$	poin
					_ _ _	
and submitting r requisitions, pay paperwork prom	equired project p rolls, workforce ptly and in prope	ints) Rate this contract paperwork (i.e. change reports, etc.). Did the er form? If not, provident	e orders, submitta e contractor subm de specific examp	ls, drawings, it the required bles.	_	
and submitting requisitions, pay	equired project prolls, workforce	aperwork (i.e. change reports, etc.). Did the	e orders, submitta e contractor subm	ls, drawings, it the required	_	
and submitting requisitions, pay paperwork promunacceptable   0 points	equired project p rolls, workforce ptly and in prope	reports, etc.). Did the er form? If not, providen	e orders, submitta e contractor subm de specific examp	ls, drawings, it the required bles.		_ poir
and submitting requisitions, pay paperwork promunacceptable   0 points	equired project prolls, workforce ptly and in prope	saperwork (i.e. change reports, etc.). Did the er form? If not, providualist satisfactory	e orders, submitta e contractor subm de specific examp very good	ls, drawings, it the required bles.  excellent		_ poir
and submitting requisitions, pay paperwork promunacceptable  0 points nments:  On-Site Supervisor	equired project prolls, workforce ptly and in proper	saperwork (i.e. change reports, etc.). Did the reports of the reform? If not, provide satisfactory   5 points  ting (0-14 points)	e orders, submittale contractor submite contractor submite specific example very good   6 points	ls, drawings, it the required bles.  excellent 7 points		_ poir
and submitting requisitions, pay paperwork promunacceptable  0 points nments:  On-Site Supervisor supervisory pers	equired project prolls, workforce ptly and in proper Door Door Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poo	saperwork (i.e. change reports, etc.). Did the reports of the reform? If not, provide satisfactory   5 points	e orders, submittal e contractor subm de specific examp  very good   6 points  of this contractor the knowledge, r	Is, drawings, it the required oles.  excellent 7 points  's on-site nanagement skill		_ poir
and submitting requisitions, pay paperwork promunacceptable  0 points nments:  On-Site Supervisor supervisory pers	equired project prolls, workforce ptly and in proper Door Door Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poo	ting (0-14 points) general performance uperintendent(s) have	e orders, submittale contractor submide specific example very good 6 points  of this contractor the knowledge, range of the specific example of the sp	Is, drawings, it the required oles.  excellent 7 points  's on-site nanagement skill		_ poir
and submitting r requisitions, pay paperwork prom  unacceptable   0 points  nments:  On-Site Supervisor  supervisory pers and experience t	poor  2 points  y Personnel Rate mance- Rate the connel. Did the second a project of	ting (0-14 points) general performance uperintendent(s) have f this size and scope?	e orders, submittale contractor submide specific example very good 6 points  of this contractor the knowledge, range of the specific example of the sp	Is, drawings, it the required oles.  excellent 7 points  's on-site management skill pecific examples.		роіг

Standard Contractor Evaluation Form Revised: August 15, 2007

Standard Contractor Evaluation Form Revised: August 15, 2007